Mr. Steve Parks, Editor Sunday Baltimore Sun Feature Section Baltimore Sun Baltimore, Fd. Dear Mr. Farks,

Source materials relating to political assassinations are netoriously undependable. Most are improvisations based on ripoffs of what was not authentic to begin with. With reuse further distortations and exaggrerations are added for attractiveness or to conform with pet belief.

An enormous amount of baseless conjecture is represented as fact. Mythologies have come to be accepted as fact from mere repetition and quotation. It has come to the point where I cannot secognize my own work when cited and quoted.

Inrecent years the plague has infested those who now have access to criminal records. Their representations often bear little or no resemblance to the records allegedly used.

When someone like you, who has a regular job, undertakes a rewrite he begins with the lions and ends wishing it had been a snake-pit.

Twom reasons impel me to write after a glance at your last Sunday's piece. It awaited me on my return from a trip.

First I suggest that you burn everything you have writtens ont the subject and scatter the ashes in the dark of night. If you do not neither your reputation nor self respect will survive.

Secondly, only too often I am embarrassed by remote-generation representations of my work that often persuades the reader my actual work and belief are reflected.

The totality of fectual error is astounding.

Aside from some proper names and the fact that there were transcripts and they were classified there is virtually no correct statement.

The case did not go to the Supreme Court. It did not get to the appeals court, either. There is no connection, by date or otherwise, with Ehrlichsen. The January 21 transcript was not involved and you refer to an entirely different lawsuit in any event. Only 10 pages of the January 21 transcript were withheld. There never was any Earch 4 transcript and there were later ones. I recently obtained two and made them public, with wire-service coverage. I did not "surmised that those sessions concerned Oswald and in fact knew they did not. Home of the content you cite exists at all.

The rest of the piece is faithful to what I say - incredibly inaccurate.

Despite extensive knowledge of the nut literature I have trouble identifying your sources. It makes me wonder if some "researcher" has taken advantage of you.

These kinds of pieces have a way of passing through official hands and getting deposited in official files as my belief and writing. They thus do become hurtful to me.

The FBI once told the IBJ White House that an annual religious gathering at my home was an annual colebration of the Russian Revolution, allegedly based on a reprt in its files. When I gave the "epartment of Justice indication of the existence of what later became known as the Cointelpro operations this was recorded by the FBI as me conspiring with the "ational States Rights" Carty to defame the FBI.

Most of those who take the time to write books about the political assassinations and deeply concerned and very serious. It therefore is not easy for me to write you as I do.

It is an act of friendship, not of enimosity, as you will learn if you birth the monster. Please believe me or that monster will be on your back the rest of your writing life.

Please water excuse the haste and the typing. I've been aware for a very tiring week. I write only to save you from what you may not believe me can be considerable embarrassment if not also professional harm.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg